

Stochastic Programming for Hydropower Operations

Modeling and Algorithms

Martin Biel KTH - Royal Institute of Technology JUNE 28, 2018



- Simulation of hydro power operations \rightarrow Decision-support



- Simulation of hydro power operations \rightarrow Decision-support
 - Price forecasts
 - Irregular power production: solar and wind
 - Nuclear power phase-out



- Simulation of hydro power operations \rightarrow Decision-support
 - Price forecasts
 - Irregular power production: solar and wind
 - Nuclear power phase-out
- Common: Trade-off between accuracy and computation time



- Simulation of hydro power operations \rightarrow Decision-support
 - Price forecasts
 - Irregular power production: solar and wind
 - Nuclear power phase-out
- Common: Trade-off between accuracy and computation time
- Aim: Provide reliable decision-support in real time



- Simulation of hydro power operations \rightarrow Decision-support
 - Price forecasts
 - Irregular power production: solar and wind
 - Nuclear power phase-out
- Common: Trade-off between accuracy and computation time
- Aim: Provide reliable decision-support in real time
 - · Accurate models: Optimal model reductions



- Simulation of hydro power operations \rightarrow Decision-support
 - Price forecasts
 - Irregular power production: solar and wind
 - Nuclear power phase-out
- Common: Trade-off between accuracy and computation time
- Aim: Provide reliable decision-support in real time
 - · Accurate models: Optimal model reductions
 - Fast computations: Scalable algorithms on commodity hardware



- Simulation of hydro power operations \rightarrow Decision-support
 - Price forecasts
 - Irregular power production: solar and wind
 - Nuclear power phase-out
- Common: Trade-off between accuracy and computation time
- Aim: Provide reliable decision-support in real time
 - · Accurate models: Optimal model reductions
 - Fast computations: Scalable algorithms on commodity hardware





- Simulation of hydro power operations \rightarrow Decision-support
 - Price forecasts
 - Irregular power production: solar and wind
 - Nuclear power phase-out
- Common: Trade-off between accuracy and computation time
- Aim: Provide reliable decision-support in real time
 - · Accurate models: Optimal model reductions
 - Fast computations: Scalable algorithms on commodity hardware





Stochastic programming for hydro power operations

- Optimal orders on the day-ahead market
- Maintenance scheduling
- Long-term investments
- Wind/solar uncertainties



Stochastic programming for hydro power operations

- Optimal orders on the day-ahead market
- Maintenance scheduling
- Long-term investments
- Wind/solar uncertainties

Advantages

- Multiple scenarios \rightarrow More accurate models
- Parallel decomposition \rightarrow Faster computations



Contribution

Julia modules

- StochasticPrograms.jl
- LShapedSolvers.jl
- HydroModels.jl



Contribution

Julia modules

- StochasticPrograms.jl
- LShapedSolvers.jl
- HydroModels.jl

Software Innovations

- Deferred model creation
- Data injection



• Initial approach



- Initial approach
- StochasticProgramming.jl



- Initial approach
- StochasticProgramming.jl
- LShapedSolvers.jl



- Initial approach
- StochasticProgramming.jl
- LShapedSolvers.jl
- HydroModels.jl



- Initial approach
- StochasticProgramming.jl
- LShapedSolvers.jl
- HydroModels.jl
- Final remarks



- HydroModel
 - Data
 - JuMP model



- HydroModel
 - Data
 - JuMP model
- Julia struct for each model: ShortTerm, DayAhead



- HydroModel
 - Data
 - JuMP model
- Julia struct for each model: ShortTerm, DayAhead
- Parallel decomposition: L-shaped on StructJuMP.jl models



- HydroModel
 - Data
 - JuMP model
- Julia struct for each model: ShortTerm, DayAhead
- Parallel decomposition: L-shaped on StructJuMP.jl models
- Performance: Solve extended form using MathProgBase solvers



```
function define structiump problem(model::DavAheadModel)
    model.internalmodels[:structured] = StructuredModel(num scenarios = numscenarios(model))
    params = model.modeldata
   @variable(internalmodel,xt i[t = model.hours] >= 0)
    for s in 1:numscenarios(model)
        block = StructuredModel(parent = internalmodel, id = s)
        @variable(block,Q[p = model.plants, g = model.segments, t = model.hours],
                  lowerbound = 0.upperbound = params \overline{0} [(p,q)])
        @variable(block,S[p = model.plants, t = model.hours] >= 0)
        @expression(block.value of stored water.
                    params.\lambda f*sum(M[p.hours(model.horizon)]*sum(params.u[i.1])
                         for \overline{i} = params.Od[p])
                             for p = model.plants))
        # Define objective
        @objective(block, Max, net profit + value of stored water)
        @constraint(block.production[s = model.scenarios. t = model.hours].
                    H[s,t] = sum(params.\mu[p,q]*Q[s,p,q,t])
                                 for p = model.plants, g = model.segments)
                     )
    end
end
```



```
function define dep problem(model::DavAheadModel)
    model.internalmodels[:dep] = Model()
    params = model.modeldata
    @variable(internalmodel.xt i[t = model.hours] >= 0)
    @variable(block.0[s = model.scenarios, p = model.plants, t = model.hours].
          lowerbound = 0, upperbound = params \overline{0} [(p,q)])
    (dvariable(block, S[s = model.scenarios, p = model.plants, t = model.hours] >= 0)
    @expression(block,value of stored water,
        sum(scenarios[s], \pi*params, \lambda f*sum(M[s,p]*sum(params, \mu[i,1]))
                                          for i = params.Qd[p])
                                              for p = model.plants)
                                                   for s = model scenarios))
    # Define objective
    @objective(block, Max, net profit + value of stored water)
    @constraint(block,production[s = model.scenarios, t = model.hours],
        H[s,t] == sum(params.\mu[p,q]*Q[s,p,q,t])
                     for p = model, plants, q = model, segments)
end
```



Initial Approach - Issues

• A lot of code repetition





- A lot of code repetition
- No clearcut way to calculate stochastic measures: EVPI, VSS





- A lot of code repetition
- No clearcut way to calculate stochastic measures: EVPI, VSS
- The model creation is somewhat inflexible



- A lot of code repetition
- No clearcut way to calculate stochastic measures: EVPI, VSS
- The model creation is somewhat inflexible
- Parallel L-shaped using the Distributed module in Julia...



- A lot of code repetition
- No clearcut way to calculate stochastic measures: EVPI, VSS
- The model creation is somewhat inflexible
- Parallel L-shaped using the Distributed module in Julia...
- ...but StructJuMP relies on MPI



- A lot of code repetition
- No clearcut way to calculate stochastic measures: EVPI, VSS
- The model creation is somewhat inflexible
- Parallel L-shaped using the Distributed module in Julia...
- ...but StructJuMP relies on MPI
- Creating a new hydromodel involves reimplementing a new type



• StochasticPrograms.jl

• HydroModels.jl



- StochasticPrograms.jl
 - Flexible model creation

• HydroModels.jl



- StochasticPrograms.jl
 - Flexible model creation
 - Parallel capabilities based on the Distributed module
- HydroModels.jl



- StochasticPrograms.jl
 - Flexible model creation
 - Parallel capabilities based on the Distributed module
 - Stochastic programming constructs
- HydroModels.jl



- StochasticPrograms.jl
 - Flexible model creation
 - · Parallel capabilities based on the Distributed module
 - Stochastic programming constructs
- HydroModels.jl
 - Model creation focused on data and optimization formulation



- StochasticPrograms.jl
 - Flexible model creation
 - Parallel capabilities based on the Distributed module
 - Stochastic programming constructs
- HydroModels.jl
 - Model creation focused on data and optimization formulation
 - Efficient model reinitialization



- StochasticPrograms.jl
 - Flexible model creation
 - · Parallel capabilities based on the Distributed module
 - Stochastic programming constructs
- HydroModels.jl
 - Model creation focused on data and optimization formulation
 - Efficient model reinitialization
 - Predefined models
 - Short-term production planning
 - Optimal orders on the day-ahead market



$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x_{1},x_{2}\in\mathbb{R}}{\text{minimize}} & 100x_{1}+150x_{2}+\mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(x_{1},x_{2},\xi)]\\ \text{s.t.} & x_{1}+x_{2}\leq 120\\ & x_{1}\geq 40\\ & x_{2}\geq 20 \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{split} Q(x_1,x_2,\xi) &= \min_{y_1,y_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \quad q_1(\xi)y_1 + q_2(\xi)y_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad 6y_1 + 10y_2 \leq 60x_1 \\ & 8y_1 + 5y_2 \leq 80x_2 \\ & 0 \leq y_1 \leq d_1(\xi) \\ & 0 \leq y_2 \leq d_2(\xi) \end{split}$$



```
@first_stage sp = begin
  @variable(model, x1 >= 40)
  @variable(model, x2 >= 20)
  @objective(model, Min, 100*x1 + 150*x2)
  @constraint(model, x1+x2 <= 120)
end
```

```
@second_stage sp = begin
    @decision x1 x2
    s = scenario
    @variable(model, 0 <= y1 <= s.d[1])
    @variable(model, 0 <= y2 <= s.d[2])
    @objective(model, Min, s.q[1]*y1 + s.q[2]*y2)
    @constraint(model, 6*y1 + 10*y2 <= 60*x1)
    @constraint(model, 8*y1 + 5*y2 <= 80*x2)
end
```



```
@first_stage sp = begin
    @variable(model, x1 >= 40)
    @variable(model, x2 >= 20)
    @objective(model, Min, 100*x1 + 150*x2)
    @constraint(model, x1+x2 <= 120)
end</pre>
```

```
@second_stage sp = begin
    @decision x1 x2
    s = scenario
    @variable(model, 0 <= y1 <= s.d[1])
    @variable(model, 0 <= y2 <= s.d[2])
    @objective(model, Min, s.q[1]*y1 + s.q[2]*y2)
    @constraint(model, 6*y1 + 10*y2 <= 60*x1)
    @constraint(model, 8*y1 + 5*y2 <= 80*x2)
end
```

Creates a generator function for the first stage model



```
@first_stage sp = begin
    @variable(model, x1 >= 40)
    @variable(model, x2 >= 20)
    @objective(model, Min, 100*x1 + 150*x2)
    @constraint(model, x1+x2 <= 120)
end
```

```
@second_stage sp = begin
    @decision x1 x2
    s = scenario
    @variable(model, 0 <= y1 <= s.d[1])
    @variable(model, 0 <= y2 <= s.d[2])
    @objective(model, Min, s.q[1]*y1 + s.q[2]*y2)
    @constraint(model, 6*y1 + 10*y2 <= 60*x1)
    @constraint(model, 8*y1 + 5*y2 <= 80*x2)
end
```

Creates a generator function for the second stage model

Martin Biel (KTH)



```
@first_stage sp = begin
    @variable(model, x1 >= 40)
    @variable(model, x2 >= 20)
    @objective(model, Min, 100*x1 + 150*x2)
    @constraint(model, x1+x2 <= 120)
end
```

```
@second_stage sp = begin
    @decision x1 x2
    s = scenario
    @variable(model, 0 <= y1 <= s.d[1])
    @variable(model, 0 <= y2 <= s.d[2])
    @objective(model, Min, s.q[1]*y1 + s.q[2]*y2)
    @constraint(model, 6*y1 + 10*y2 <= 60*x1)
    @constraint(model, 8*y1 + 5*y2 <= 80*x2)
end
```

Explicitly denote that some variables originate from the first stage

Martin Biel (KTH)



```
@first_stage sp = begin
  @variable(model, x1 >= 40)
  @variable(model, x2 >= 20)
  @objective(model, Min, 100*x1 + 150*x2)
  @constraint(model, x1+x2 <= 120)
end
```

```
@second_stage sp = begin
    @decision x1 x2
    s = scenario
    @variable(model, 0 <= y1 <= s.d[1])
    @variable(model, 0 <= y2 <= s.d[2])
    @objective(model, Min, s.q[1]*y1 + s.q[2]*y2)
    @constraint(model, 6*y1 + 10*y2 <= 60*x1)
    @constraint(model, 8*y1 + 5*y2 <= 80*x2)
end
```

Injection point for scenario data



StochasticPrograms.jl - Simple Example

```
struct SimpleScenario <: AbstractScenarioData
    p::Float64
    d::Vector{Float64}
    q::Vector{Float64}
end</pre>
```

StochasticPrograms.probability(s::SimpleScenario) = s.p



```
struct SimpleScenario <: AbstractScenarioData
    p::Float64
    d::Vector{Float64}
    q::Vector{Float64}
end</pre>
```

StochasticPrograms.probability(s::SimpleScenario) = s.p

Add two scenarios to the stochastic program

- s1 = SimpleScenario(0.4, [500.0, 100], [-24.0, -28])
- s2 = SimpleScenario(0.6,[300.0,300],[-28.0,-32])

append!(sp,[s1,s2])



StochasticPrograms.jl - Simple Example

print(sp)



StochasticPrograms.jl - Simple Example

print(sp)

First-stage Min 100 x1 + 150 x2 Subject to $x_1 + x_2 \le 120$ $x_1 \ge 40$ $x_2 \ge 20$ Second-stage

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Subproblem 1:} \\ \mbox{Min -24 } y_1 & - 28 & y_2 \\ \mbox{Subject to} \\ \mbox{6 } y_1 & + 10 & y_2 & - 60 & x_1 \leq 0 \\ \mbox{8 } y_1 & + 5 & y_2 & - 80 & x_2 \leq 0 \\ \mbox{0 } s & y_1 \leq 500 \\ \mbox{0 } s & y_2 \leq 100 \end{array}$

Subproblem 2: Min -28 y₁ - 32 y₂ Subject to 6 y₁ + 10 y₂ - 60 x₁ ≤ 0 8 y₁ + 5 y₂ - 80 x₂ ≤ 0 0 $\leq y_1 \leq 300$ 0 $\leq y_2 \leq 300$



Implementation Details

Deferred model creation





Implementation Details

Deferred model creation

• JuMP models are not created instantly



- JuMP models are not created instantly
- Model definitions are stored in generating lambda functions



- JuMP models are not created instantly
- Model definitions are stored in generating lambda functions
- These model recipes are then used as building blocks



- JuMP models are not created instantly
- Model definitions are stored in generating lambda functions
- These model recipes are then used as building blocks

Data injection



- JuMP models are not created instantly
- Model definitions are stored in generating lambda functions
- These model recipes are then used as building blocks

Data injection

• The generating functions contain certain placeholders keywords



- JuMP models are not created instantly
- Model definitions are stored in generating lambda functions
- These model recipes are then used as building blocks

Data injection

- The generating functions contain certain placeholders keywords
- Upon model creation, the keywords contain the required data fields



- JuMP models are not created instantly
- Model definitions are stored in generating lambda functions
- These model recipes are then used as building blocks

Data injection

- The generating functions contain certain placeholders keywords
- Upon model creation, the keywords contain the required data fields

Implications



- JuMP models are not created instantly
- Model definitions are stored in generating lambda functions
- These model recipes are then used as building blocks

Data injection

- The generating functions contain certain placeholders keywords
- Upon model creation, the keywords contain the required data fields

Implications

• Flexible model creation and reformulation



- JuMP models are not created instantly
- Model definitions are stored in generating lambda functions
- These model recipes are then used as building blocks

Data injection

- The generating functions contain certain placeholders keywords
- Upon model creation, the keywords contain the required data fields

Implications

- Flexible model creation and reformulation
- Efficient parallel implementation



- JuMP models are not created instantly
- Model definitions are stored in generating lambda functions
- These model recipes are then used as building blocks

Data injection

- The generating functions contain certain placeholders keywords
- Upon model creation, the keywords contain the required data fields

Implications

- Flexible model creation and reformulation
- Efficient parallel implementation
- Versatility



$$\begin{split} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} \quad c^T x + \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(x,\xi(\omega))] \\ \text{s.t.} \quad Ax = b \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} & c^T x + \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(x,\xi(\omega))] \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} & c^T x + \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(x,\xi(\omega))] \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \end{split}$$

Minimization problem with: * 5 linear constraints * 6 variables Solver is ClpMathProg

• First stage generator



$$\begin{split} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} & c^T x + \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(x,\xi(\omega))] \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \end{split}$$

- First stage generator
- Second stage generator on all available scenarios



$$\begin{split} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} & c^T x + \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(x,\xi(\omega))] \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \end{split}$$

- First stage generator
- Second stage generator on all available scenarios
- Connections possible due to the @decision annotation



$$\begin{split} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} & c^T x + \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(x,\xi(\omega))] \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \end{split}$$

- First stage generator
- Second stage generator on all available scenarios
- Connections possible due to the @decision annotation
- DEP model is cached internally until new scenarios are added



print(dep)



print(dep)

```
Min 100 x_1 + 150 x_2 - 9.6 y_1 - 11.2 y_2 - 16.8 y_2 - 19.2 y_2 - 2

Subject to

x_1 + x_2 \le 120

6 y_1 - 1 + 10 y_2 - 1 - 60 x_1 \le 0

8 y_1 - 1 + 5 y_2 - 1 - 80 x_2 \le 0

6 y_1 - 2 + 10 y_2 - 2 - 60 x_1 \le 0

8 y_1 - 2 + 5 y_2 - 2 - 80 x_2 \le 0

x_1 \ge 40

x_2 \ge 20

0 \le y_1 - 1 \le 500

0 \le y_2 - 1 \le 100

0 \le y_2 - 2 \le 300

0 \le y_2 - 2 \le 300
```



StochasticPrograms.jl - Solving Models



Extended form
 solve(sp,solver=ClpSolver())
 Optimal

getobjectivevalue(sp)
 -855.83



```
• Extended form solve(sp,solver=ClpSolver())
```

:Optimal

```
getobjectivevalue(sp)
  -855.83
```

L-shaped

solve(sp,solver=LShapedSolver(:ls,ClpSolver()))

L-Shaped Gap Time	e: 0:00:01 (4 iterations)
Objective:	-855.833333333358
Gap:	2.1229209144670507e-15
Number of cuts:	5
:Optimal	



```
    Extended form
    solve(sp,solver=ClpSolver())
    :Optimal
```

```
getobjectivevalue(sp)
  -855.83
```

L-shaped

solve(sp,solver=LShapedSolver(:ls,ClpSolver()))

L-Shaped Gap Time	: 0:00:01 (4 iterations)
Objective:	-855.833333333358
Gap:	2.1229209144670507e-15
Number of cuts:	5
:Optimal	

• Convenience function (Value of the recourse problem) VRP(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) -855.83



StochasticPrograms.jl - Wait-And-See Models

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} & c^T x + Q(x, \tilde{\xi}) \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

for given $\tilde{\xi}$

Martin Biel (KTH)



$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} & c^T x + Q(x, \tilde{\xi}) \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

for given $\tilde{\xi}$ ws = WS(sp,sl) Minimization problem with: * 3 linear constraints * 4 variables Solver is ClpMathProg



$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} & c^T x + Q(x, \tilde{\xi}) \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

for given $\tilde{\xi}$ ws = WS(sp,sl) Minimization problem with: * 3 linear constraints * 4 variables Solver is ClpMathProg

First stage generator



$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} & c^T x + Q(x, \tilde{\xi}) \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

```
for given \tilde{\xi}
ws = WS(sp,sl)
Minimization problem with:
* 3 linear constraints
* 4 variables
Solver is ClpMathProg
```

- First stage generator
- · Second stage generator on the given scenario



StochasticPrograms.jl - Wait-And-See Models

print(ws)





print(ws)



StochasticPrograms.jl - Expected Value Problems

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} & c^T x + Q(x, \bar{\xi}) \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

where

 $\bar{\xi} = \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[\xi(\omega)]$



$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} & c^T x + Q(x, \bar{\xi}) \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

where

$$\bar{\xi} = \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[\xi(\omega)]$$

Must be possible to take expectation over scenarios

end



evp = EVP(sp)
Minimization problem with:
 * 3 linear constraints
 * 4 variables
Solver is ClpMathProg

print(evp)



```
evp = EVP(sp)
Minimization problem with:
 * 3 linear constraints
 * 4 variables
Solver is ClpMathProg
print(evp)
Min 100 x_1 + 150 x_2 - 26.4 y_1 - 30.4 y_2
Subject to
 X_1 + X_2 \le 120
 6 y_1 + 10 y_2 - 60 x_1 \le 0
 8 y_1 + 5 y_2 - 80 x_2 \le 0
 x_1 \ge 40
 x_2 > 20
 0 \le y_1 \le 380
 0 \leq y_2 \leq 220
```



StochasticPrograms.jl - Decision Evaulation

$c^T \hat{x} + \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(\hat{x},\xi(\omega))]$





$$c^T \hat{x} + \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(\hat{x},\xi(\omega))]$$

eval_decision(sp, \hat{x} ,solver=ClpSolver()) 356.0

• Create first stage variables using generator



$$c^T \hat{x} + \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(\hat{x},\xi(\omega))]$$

eval_decision(sp, \hat{x} ,solver=ClpSolver()) 356.0

- Create first stage variables using generator
- Fixate them to the given values



$$c^T \hat{x} + \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(\hat{x},\xi(\omega))]$$

```
eval_decision(sp, x , solver=ClpSolver())
356.0
```

- Create first stage variables using generator
- Fixate them to the given values
- Generate the second stage problems



$$c^T \hat{x} + \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(\hat{x},\xi(\omega))]$$

```
eval_decision(sp, x , solver=ClpSolver())
356.0
```

- Create first stage variables using generator
- Fixate them to the given values
- Generate the second stage problems
- Again, linking handled through @decision



$$c^T \hat{x} + \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(\hat{x},\xi(\omega))]$$

```
eval_decision(sp, x , solver=ClpSolver())
356.0
```

- Create first stage variables using generator
- Fixate them to the given values
- Generate the second stage problems
- Again, linking handled through @decision
- Solve resulting JuMP model



 Expected value of using the expected solution (EEV) EEV(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) -568.92



 Expected value of using the expected solution (EEV) EEV(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) -568.92

• Expected wait-and-see solution (EWS)

```
EWS(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) -1518.75
```



- Expected value of using the expected solution (EEV) EEV(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) -568.92
- Expected wait-and-see solution (EWS)

```
EWS(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) -1518.75
```

Expected value of perfect information (EVPI = VRP - EWS)
 EVPI(sp,solver=ClpSolver())
 662.92



- Expected value of using the expected solution (EEV) EEV(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) -568.92
- Expected wait-and-see solution (EWS)

```
EWS(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) -1518.75
```

- Expected value of perfect information (EVPI = VRP EWS) EVPI(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) 662.92
- Value of the stochastic solution (VSS = EEV VRP) VSS(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) 286,92



- Expected value of using the expected solution (EEV) EEV(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) -568.92
- Expected wait-and-see solution (EWS)

```
EWS(sp,solver=ClpSolver())
-1518.75
```

- Expected value of perfect information (EVPI = VRP EWS) EVPI(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) 662.92
- Value of the stochastic solution (VSS = EEV VRP) VSS(sp,solver=ClpSolver()) 286.92

Many of the required calculations are embarassingly parallel



L-shaped algorithm variants

- L-shaped [Van Slyke,Wets]
- Multicut L-shaped [Birge,Louveaux]
- Regularized decomposition [Ruszczyński]
- Trust-region L-shaped [Linderoth,Wright]
- Level-set [Fábián,Szőke]



- 1. L-shaped with multiple cuts (default): LShapedSolver(:ls)
- 2. L-shaped with regularized decomposition: LShapedSolver(:rd)
- 3. L-shaped with trust region: LShapedSolver(:tr)
- 4. L-shaped with level sets: LShapedSolver(:lv)



- 1. L-shaped with multiple cuts (default): LShapedSolver(:ls)
- 2. L-shaped with regularized decomposition: LShapedSolver(:rd)
- 3. L-shaped with trust region: LShapedSolver(:tr)
- 4. L-shaped with level sets: LShapedSolver(:lv)

• Distributed L-shaped variants

- 1. Distributed L-shaped with multiple cuts: LShapedSolver(:dls)
- 2. Distributed regularized L-shaped: LShapedSolver(:drd)
- 3. Distributed L-shaped with trust region: LShapedSolver(:dtr)
- 4. Distributed L-shaped with level sets: LShapedSolver(:dlv)



- 1. L-shaped with multiple cuts (default): LShapedSolver(:ls)
- 2. L-shaped with regularized decomposition: LShapedSolver(:rd)
- 3. L-shaped with trust region: LShapedSolver(:tr)
- 4. L-shaped with level sets: LShapedSolver(:lv)

• Distributed L-shaped variants

- 1. Distributed L-shaped with multiple cuts: LShapedSolver(:dls)
- 2. Distributed regularized L-shaped: LShapedSolver(:drd)
- 3. Distributed L-shaped with trust region: LShapedSolver(:dtr)
- 4. Distributed L-shaped with level sets: LShapedSolver(:dlv)
- Trait based implementation. Every solver is a combination of a:
 - Regularization trait
 - Parallelization trait

- 1. L-shaped with multiple cuts (default): LShapedSolver(:ls)
- 2. L-shaped with regularized decomposition: LShapedSolver(:rd)
- 3. L-shaped with trust region: LShapedSolver(:tr)
- 4. L-shaped with level sets: LShapedSolver(:lv)

• Distributed L-shaped variants

- 1. Distributed L-shaped with multiple cuts: LShapedSolver(:dls)
- 2. Distributed regularized L-shaped: LShapedSolver(:drd)
- 3. Distributed L-shaped with trust region: LShapedSolver(:dtr)
- 4. Distributed L-shaped with level sets: LShapedSolver(:dlv)
- Trait based implementation. Every solver is a combination of a:
 - Regularization trait
 - Parallelization trait
- Subproblems are solved using MathProgBase solvers

• Also based on deferred model creation and data injection



- Also based on deferred model creation and data injection
- The user creates a model recipe using the @hydromodel macro



- Also based on deferred model creation and data injection
- The user creates a model recipe using the @hydromodel macro

Creating a Planning Problem

- Define model indices
- Define model data
- Define modelindices(::AbstractHydroModelData, ::Horizon, args...)
- Define optimization problem



- Also based on deferred model creation and data injection
- The user creates a model recipe using the @hydromodel macro

Creating a Planning Problem

- Define model indices
- Define model data
- Define modelindices(::AbstractHydroModelData, ::Horizon, args...)
- Define optimization problem

Data injection keywords

- *horizon*: the time horizon if the model
- *indices*: structure with model indices
- data: structure with model data



```
struct SimpleShortTermIndices <: AbstractModelIndices
    hours::Vector{Int}
    plants::Vector{Symbol}
end</pre>
```

```
struct SimpleShortTermData <: AbstractModelData
    hydrodata::HydroPlantCollection{Float64,2}
    D::Vector{Float64}  # Load balance
    λ::Vector{Float64}  # Price curve
</pre>
```

end

```
function modelindices(data::SimpleShortTermData,horizon::Horizon)
hours = collect(1:nhours(horizon))
plants = data.hydrodata.plants
if isempty(plants)
error("No plants in data")
end
return SimpleShortTermIndices(hours, plants)
end
```

Define the required model indices



```
struct SimpleShortTermIndices <: AbstractModelIndices
    hours::Vector{Int}
    plants::Vector{Symbol}
end</pre>
```

```
struct SimpleShortTermData <: AbstractModelData
    hydrodata::HydroPlantCollection{Float64,2}
    D::Vector{Float64}  # Load balance
    λ::Vector{Float64}  # Price curve
end</pre>
```

```
function modelindices(data::SimpleShortTermData,horizon::Horizon)
hours = collect(1:nhours(horizon))
plants = data.hydrodata.plants
if isempty(plants)
error("No plants in data")
end
return SimpleShortTermIndices(hours, plants)
end
```

Define data structure that should be available in the model



```
struct SimpleShortTermIndices <: AbstractModelIndices</pre>
    hours::Vector{Int}
    plants::Vector{Symbol}
end
struct SimpleShortTermData <: AbstractModelData</pre>
    hydrodata::HydroPlantCollection{Float64,2}
    D::Vector{Float64} # Load balance
    λ::Vector{Float64} # Price curve
end
function modelindices(data::SimpleShortTermData,horizon::Horizon)
    hours = collect(1:nhours(horizon))
    plants = data.hydrodata.plants
    if isempty(plants)
        error("No plants in data")
    end
    return SimpleShortTermIndices(hours, plants)
end
```

Create model indices based on given data and time horizon





```
@hydromodel Deterministic SimpleShortTerm = begin
    hours = indices.hours
    plants = indices.plants
    . . .
    hdata = data.hydrodata
    D = data.D
    \lambda = data.\lambda
    . . .
    @variable(model, H[t = hours] >= 0) # Production each hour
    . . .
    @expression(model, value of stored water,
                 0.98*mean(\lambda)*sum(M[p,24]*sum(hdata[i].\mu[1]
                      for i = hdata.Qd[p])
                          for p = plants))
    @objective(model, Max, net profit + value of stored water)
    . . .
    @constraint(model, load constraint[t = hours],
                 H[t] + Hp[t] - Hs[t] == D[t])
```

```
end
```

. . .



simple_model = SimpleShortTermModel(Day(),data)

```
Deterministic Hydro Power Model : Simple Short Term
including 5 power stations
over a 24 hour horizon (1 day)
```

Not yet planned





```
simple_model = SimpleShortTermModel(Day(),data)
```

```
Deterministic Hydro Power Model : Simple Short Term
including 5 power stations
over a 24 hour horizon (1 day)
```

```
Not yet planned
```

```
plan!(simple_model, optimsolver = CbcSolver())
```

```
Deterministic Hydro Power Model : Simple Short Term
including 5 power stations
over a 24 hour horizon (1 day)
```

Optimally planned



reinitialize!(simple_model,Week(),data)

```
Deterministic Hydro Power Model : Simple Short Term
including 5 power stations
over a 168 hour horizon (1 week)
```

Not yet planned





```
reinitialize!(simple_model,Week(),data)
```

```
Deterministic Hydro Power Model : Simple Short Term
including 5 power stations
over a 168 hour horizon (1 week)
```

```
Not yet planned
```

```
plan!(simple_model, optimsolver = CbcSolver())
```

```
Deterministic Hydro Power Model : Simple Short Term
including 5 power stations
over a 168 hour horizon (1 week)
```

Optimally planned



• HydroModels.jl model implemented using StochasticPrograms.jl





- HydroModels.jl model implemented using StochasticPrograms.jl
- Determine optimal order strategies on day-ahead electricity markets



- HydroModels.jl model implemented using StochasticPrograms.jl
- Determine optimal order strategies on day-ahead electricity markets
- Small benchmark
 - 257 Swedish power stations
 - 20 Price curve scenarios from the NordPool market
 - 748042 variables and 376700 constraints in the extended form



- HydroModels.jl model implemented using StochasticPrograms.jl
- Determine optimal order strategies on day-ahead electricity markets
- Small benchmark
 - 257 Swedish power stations
 - 20 Price curve scenarios from the NordPool market
 - 748042 variables and 376700 constraints in the extended form
- Results
 - Gurobi on extended form: 58.2 seconds (+ 9.2s for DEP generation)
 - Distributed L-shaped: 31.5 seconds
 - Distributed L-shaped with tuned trust-region: 26.7 seconds



- StochasticPrograms.jl
 - Sampling
 - Multistage models
 - Progressive hedging solver



- StochasticPrograms.jl
 - Sampling
 - Multistage models
 - Progressive hedging solver
- HydroModels.jl
 - Implement more models of hydropower operations



- StochasticPrograms.jl
 - Sampling
 - Multistage models
 - Progressive hedging solver
- HydroModels.jl
 - Implement more models of hydropower operations
- LShapedSolvers.jl
 - Algorithmic improvements
 - Hardware acceleration
 - Support integer problems



- Stochastic programming for hydropower operations in Julia
 - StochasticPrograms.jl
 - LShapedSolvers.jl
 - HydroModels.jl





- Stochastic programming for hydropower operations in Julia
 - StochasticPrograms.jl
 - LShapedSolvers.jl
 - HydroModels.jl
- Software innovations
 - Deferred model creation
 - Data injection





- Stochastic programming for hydropower operations in Julia
 - StochasticPrograms.jl
 - LShapedSolvers.jl
 - HydroModels.jl
- Software innovations
 - Deferred model creation
 - Data injection
- Disclaimer: Not updated for MathOptInterface and JuMP 0.19





- Stochastic programming for hydropower operations in Julia
 - StochasticPrograms.jl
 - LShapedSolvers.jl
 - HydroModels.jl
- Software innovations
 - Deferred model creation
 - Data injection
- Disclaimer: Not updated for MathOptInterface and JuMP 0.19
- All packages are available on Github:
 - https://github.com/martinbiel/StochasticPrograms.jl
 - https://github.com/martinbiel/LShapedSolvers.jl
 - https://github.com/martinbiel/HydroModels.jl

Feedback appreciated!